

# Statement of Common Ground with East Riding of Yorkshire Council (draft)

Revision 23 (tracked)

September October 2025



# Contents

1	Introduction	1
	Purpose of this document	
	Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	
1.3	Terminology	2
2	Record of Engagement	3
2.1	Summary of consultation and engagement	3
3	Current Position	7
4	Signatures	.23

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (hereafter referred to as the 'SoCG') has been prepared to support the Examination of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application (the 'DCO Application') for Peartree Hill Solar Farm (the 'Proposed Development').
- 1.1.2 The DCO Application is for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) for the construction, operation (including maintenance) and decommissioning of a solar photovoltaic (PV) array electricity generating facility, Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and associated infrastructure which would allow for the generation and export of electricity.
- 1.1.3 The SoCG is a 'live' document that has been prepared collaboratively by the Applicant and the Consultee (East Riding of Yorkshire Council).
- 1.1.4 The SoCG has been prepared in accordance with the Guidance for examination of DCO applications which was published in 2024 by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities<sup>1</sup>.

### 1.1.5 This Guidance comments that:

"A statement of common ground is a written statement prepared jointly by the applicant and another party or parties, setting out any matters on which they agree, or indeed disagree. A SoCG helps to ensure that the evidence at examination focuses on the material differences between the main parties and therefore makes best use of the lines of questioning pursued by the Examining Authority'.

<sup>1</sup> Planning Act 2008: Examination stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (April 2024)

- 1.1.6 The aim of this SoCG is to therefore provide a clear position of the progress and agreement made or not yet made between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council on matters relating to the Proposed Development. Where matters are yet to be agreed, the parties will continue to proactively work to reach agreement.
- 1.1.7 The SoCG will be updated as more information becomes available and as a result of ongoing discussions between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council.

### 1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) the Applicant and (2) East Riding of Yorkshire Council.
- 1.2.2 East Riding of Yorkshire Council is the host local authority for the Proposed Development, with the Order Limits located entirely within its boundary.
- 1.2.3 Collectively, the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council are referred to as 'the parties'.

# 1.3 Terminology

- 1.3.1 Section 3 of this document sets out the relevant matters raised though discussion between the parties. It provides a summary of the position of each party and identifies the status of discussions on each matter:
  - "Agreed" indicates where the issue has been resolved between the parties and is not anticipated to be subject to further discussions;
  - "Under discussion" indicates where a matter remains in active dialogue between the parties and a final position has not yet been reached;
  - "Not Agreed" indicates where the parties have established a final position that they cannot resolve the matter and will remain a point of difference.

# 2 Record of Engagement

# 2.1 Summary of consultation and engagement

2.1.1 The parties have been engaged in consultation and engagement throughout the development of the Proposed Development. Table 1 shows a summary of the meetings and correspondence that have taken place to date between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to the Proposed Development. This is limited to engagement which is materially relevant to the contents of this SoCG and does not seek to include every piece of correspondence between the parties (e.g. that which was primarily administrative).

**Table 1: Record of Engagement since August 2023** 

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
23 August 2023	To introduce the Proposed Development to East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Principal Planning Officer.	Online meeting in which the Applicant provided an initial briefing on the Proposed Development.
25 January 2024	To consult with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Trees and Nature Conservation Team Leader.	Online meeting to discuss baseline ecology data and key issues.
6 February 2024	To reach agreement with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Archaeological Advisor on appropriate archaeological	Online meeting to discuss archaeological constraints, trial trenching approach, and potential mitigation.
May – August 2024	mitigation measures and the trial trenching strategy.	Email correspondence to determine details of trial trenching and archaeological monitoring in Land Area F.
16 February 2024	To reach agreement with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's appointed landscape consultants (2B Consultants) on a number of matters	Email from the Applicant to confirm viewpoint locations and visualisation types. At this stage East Riding of Yorkshire Council had no available landscape officer.
23 July 2024	relating to Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA).	Online meeting with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's appointed landscape consultants to discuss the above matters.

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
28 August		Site walkover to discuss design
2024		proposals.
September –		Email correspondence in relation to
October 2024		viewpoints and photomontages.
19 February	To reach agreement with East	Online meeting to discuss
2024	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	construction vehicle access
	Highways Officers on	locations, routes and indicative
	proposed construction access	highways mitigation.
6 June 2024	and highways works.	Online meeting to discuss mitigation,
		e.g. speed reductions and passing
		places.
August –		Email correspondence to discuss
October 2024		details of highways works and traffic
22 Enhance	To reach agreement with Fact	Measures.
23 February 2024	To reach agreement with East	Online meeting to discuss the draft Stage 1 Settings Assessment and to
2024	Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Officer on the	confirm scoped-in assets.
	initial heritage settings	commin scoped-in assets.
	assessment.	
May 2024	To reach agreement with East	Email correspondence to discuss
	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	undertaking geophysical survey and
	Archaeological Advisor on the	trial trenching of the cable route
	archaeological geophysical	post-determination.
	survey approach	·
21 May 2024	First in a series of monthly	Monthly online meetings to provide
(and	meetings with East Riding of	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's
recurring	Yorkshire Council as part of	Principal Planning Officer with
monthly)	the Planning Performance	regular updates.
2004	Agreement.	
6 June 2024	To reach agreement with East	Online meeting to discuss the
	Riding of Yorkshire Council	approach to drainage and mitigation
	(Lead Local Flood Authority)	of possible prolonged periods of
August 2025	on the drainage strategy.	flooding.  Email correspondence to provide an
August 2025		update in relation to the surface
		water drainage strategy
July –	To reach agreement with East	Email correspondence to discuss the
November	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	shortlist of other developments to be
2024	Principal Planning Officer on	included in the cumulative effects
	the cumulative effects	assessment and the methodology.
	assessment approach.	
17 July 2024	To discuss East Riding of	Online meeting to discuss East
	Yorkshire Council's response	Riding of Yorkshire Council's
	to the PEIR in relation to	comments on the PEIR and to

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
	ecology/ biodiversity with East	outline the next steps in the design
	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	of the Proposed Development.
	Trees and Nature	· ·
	Conservation Team Leader.	
28 August	To reach agreement with East	Email from the Applicant sharing the
2024	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	Transport Assessment Scoping
	Highways Officers on the	Report.
16 September	proposed scope for the	Email from East Riding of Yorkshire
2024	Transport Assessment (TA).	Council confirming the TA scope
		was acceptable.
September	To reach agreement on the	Email correspondence to discuss the
2024	proposed approach in relation	scoping out of material assets and
	to material assets and waste.	waste as a separate ES chapter.
October 2024	To reach agreement on the	Email correspondence to discuss the
	proposed approach in relation	inclusion of minerals safeguarding in
	to mineral safeguarding.	the Planning Statement rather than
0.4.1	T	the ES.
October 2024	To reach agreement with East	Email correspondence to discuss the
	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	proposed approach to the
	Archaeological Advisor on the	Archaeological Management
	approach to the Archaeological	Strategy.
November	Management Strategy  To reach agreement with East	Email from the Applicant proposing
2024 –	Riding of Yorkshire Council's	to scope geological hazards out of
January 2025	advisors on soils and minerals	assessment in the ES. Follow up
	matters (Landscope) on the	emails requesting a response. No
	proposed approach in relation	response received from Landscope
	to geological hazards.	to date.
December	To consult East Riding of	Email correspondence to discuss the
2024	Yorkshire Council's Highways	proposed AIL routes and potential
	Officers on Abnormal	day/ nighttime road closure of
	Indivisible Loads (AILs)	Meaux Lane.
3 December	To provide an update on the	Email from the Applicant sharing the
2024	project and reach agreement	Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy (later
	on any outstanding issues.	developed/ incorporated into the
		submitted <b>Outline Landscape and</b>
		Ecological Management Plan
		(Outline LEMP) [APP-156]) and
		setting out the proposed outstanding
		issues to be included in the
		Potential Main Issues for
		Examination [APP-148].

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
23 January 2025	To confirm that Water would be scoped out as an ES chapter.	Email from the Applicant stating that, in agreement with the Environment Agency, surface water and flood risk would be scoped out of the ES.
21 May 2025	To discuss outstanding Public Rights of Way (PRoW) claims with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Definitive Map team.	Online meeting to discuss the nature of any outstanding PRoW claims and the process for adding them to the definitive map.
28 May 2025	To discuss proposed PRoW management with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Countryside Access Officer.	Online meeting to discuss points raised by East Riding of Yorkshire Council on the submitted Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [APP-160].
July 2025	To discuss outstanding biodiversity issues with East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Trees and Nature Conservation Team Leader.	Online meeting and follow-up email correspondence to provide an update on the Proposed Development and to discuss outstanding matters relating to biodiversity.
20 August <u>-</u> October 2025	To discuss implications of Changes 3 and 9 (set out in the Second Notification of Proposed Changes to the DCO Application [AS-015]) for transport and access.	Online meeting and follow-up email correspondence to discuss the proposed changes to the DCO Application and agree on suitable measures to mitigate impacts on the existing road network and manage traffic as a result of the changes.

# 3 Current Position

- 3.1.1 The table below provides a summary of the current position of the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date.
- 3.1.2 Where a matter is not represented in the table, it should be assumed that it is either: (i) agreed between the parties and has not been the subject of detailed discussion; or (ii) not relevant to the discussion between the parties.
- 3.1.3 As noted above, this is a 'live' document and there are some aspects that are still under discussion between the parties. The intention is to provide a final position in subsequent versions of the SoCG, addressing and identifying where changes have been made and where agreement has been reached between the parties.

Table 2: Current position of the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
<b>Cultural Heri</b>	itage – Archaeology (	Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding o	f Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council)	
ERYC01	Buffer zone around below- ground heritage assets Cultural Heritage	The Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding of Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council agreed with a proposed 20m buffer around heritage assets HA1, HA2 and HA3. They confirmed that archaeologically 'blank' areas recorded by the geophysical survey of the Site require archaeological testing.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] for details.	Agreed
ERYC02	Pre- determination trial trenching  Cultural Heritage	The Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding of Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council agreed with the proposed approach to pre-determination trial trenching, including trenching sample size, depth of trenches, and approach to avoiding land drains.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and ES Volume 4, Appendix 9.3: Archaeological Trial Trenching Report [APP-069] for details.	Agreed
ERYC03	Post- determination works  Cultural Heritage	The Development Management Archaeologist for East Riding of Yorkshire Council & Hull City Council agreed with the activities to be undertaken post-determination, including geophysical survey and archaeological trial trenching of the cable routes; archaeological trial trenching of the solar PV module areas; and archaeological monitoring of the installation of an access road and temporary compound in Land Area F, which encroach into the footprint of heritage asset HA3.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and the Archaeological Management Strategy [EN010157/APP/7.11 Revision 2] for details.	Agreed
	itage – Building Cons	ervation/Setting		
ERYC04	Settings assessment Cultural Heritage	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Team Leader confirmed that the methodology and scope for the setting assessment were acceptable, as were the proposed mitigation measures to reduce/ minimise impacts.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and ES Volume 4, Appendix 9.4: Detailed Settings Impact Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2] for more details.	Agreed
ERYC05	Level of harm to Meaux Abbey Farm and Wawne Grange Cultural Heritage	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Team Leader commented that, while they would place the level of harm to significance (with regard to paragraph 215 of the National Planning Policy Framework <sup>2</sup> ) as being marginally higher in relation to Meaux Abbey Farm and Wawne Grange, they do not fundamentally disagree with the conclusion of no significant residual effects to these assets.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Applicant has concluded in Table 9-8 'Assessment summary' of <b>ES Volume 2</b> , <b>Chapter 9</b> : <b>Cultural Heritage</b> [ <b>EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2</b> ] that the potential magnitude of impact on the setting of Meaux Abbey Farm and Wawne Grange, following the implementation of mitigation measures, would be Minor and therefore the residual effect would be not significant.	Agreed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/67aafe8f3b41f783cca46251/NPPF December 2024.pdf

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
ERYC06	Passing place opposite Meaux Abbey Farm Cultural Heritage	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Conservation Team Leader commented that Change 9 (from targeted consultation), which proposes a vehicle passing place opposite Meaux Abbey Farm (a grade II listed building), could negatively impact the building's setting. The farm's significance partly comes from its relationship with the surrounding agricultural environment. To protect this, they recommend omitting the use of this road or relocating the passing place to the north or south of the farm.	Whilst the Applicant has considered alternative locations for passing places, it is considered necessary to create one at this location due to its position in relation to bends in the road. In addition, at this location, there is a wide area of highway verge meaning the passing place could be constructed with no impact on hedgerows, which will minimise any potential ecological impacts. The potential impact on the setting of Meaux Abbey Farm has been assessed in ES Volume 4, Appendix 9.4: Detailed Settings Impact Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2] and ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2], which conclude that the residual effect would be Minor, and therefore not significant, following the implementation of protective measures in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (Outline CEMP) [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] and Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (Outline Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan (Outline DEMP) [EN010157/APP/7.4 Revision 2] if necessary).	Under discussion
Biodiversity				
ERYC07	Protected sites – bird surveys  Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that the bird surveys carried out for the Land Areas weare sufficient to inform the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145] and stated that it would comment on the results of the results of the passage and wintering bird surveys of the grid connection cable route when available. East Riding of Yorkshire Council noted via email on 2 October 2025 that the Grid Connection Bird Survey Report [REP1-072] confirms the extent of use of the cable route by SPA birds confirming that the previously provided precautionary approach outlined within the HRA [REP2-071] is acceptable, and that impacts are considered temporary and reversible.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Please see Since this comment, ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP1-019], ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.4: Wintering Bird Survey Report [APP-108], ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.5: Ornithological Survey Report [APP-109], ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.9: Passage Bird Survey Report [APP-113], the Grid Connection Cable Route Bird Survey Report [EN010157/APP/8.3], which is submitted at Deadline 1, has been shared with East Riding of Yorkshire Council. The results have also been incorporated into the updated and the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 24], which is submitted at Deadline 1 for details. It is worth noting that a similar suite of species to those recorded within Land Areas B to F were also recorded within the grid connection cable route. As such, since a precautionary approach had already been taken in the assessment, the additional data does not change the conclusions of the assessment.	Agreed Under discussion
ERYC08	Protected sites – suitability of mitigation areas (wet grassland)  Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council advises that wet grassland is difficult to create unless there are suitable hydrological ground conditions and has concerns as to the delivery of this habitat, particularly in proposed mitigation area 11 (Field E6). It advises that it may be better to create permanent grassland instead.	The Applicant agrees to this request. Proposals for 'wet grassland' with scrapes have been replaced with flower-rich 'neutral grassland' with scrapes in the updated <b>Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 3]</b> , which is submitted at Deadline 1.  The updated <b>Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3]</b> that is submitted at Deadline 1 has also been updated with further information regarding the viability of the proposed scrapes within the mitigation areas, including additional hydrological information regarding the current hydrological statuses of the fields available at this stage of the Proposed Development. An initial review of hydrological and soil information indicates that mitigation areas 11 and 13 are suitable locations to create scrapes successfully, with the exact locations of the scrapes to be determined by preconstruction hydrological studies.	Agreed
ERYC09	Protected sites  – suitability of mitigation areas	East Riding of Yorkshire Council has concerns in relation to the extent of enclosure of mitigation area 11 (Field E6) and the introduction of permissive paths	The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] has been updated to provide further assessment of the suitability and the potential carrying capacity of each	Under discussion

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	(enclosure and	around mitigation areas 11 (Field E6) and 9 (Field D18),	mitigation area to deliver mitigation for the intended species. The updated document	
	disturbance	stating that the land needs to be reasonably open and	is submitted at Deadline 1.	
	from	human activity minimised.		
	recreational		As set out in the Third notification of proposed changes to the DCO Application	
	activities)	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that mitigation	[AS-017], the Applicant is proposing amendments to permissive path routes around	
		area 13 (Fields E13 and E14) is well-placed, has good	mitigation areas, including mitigation areas 9 (Field E6) and 11 (Field D18), to	
	Biodiversity	likelihood for proposed scrapes to hold water, and that	address the matter of potential disturbance from path users (including dogs) on	
		existing hedgerows do not pose a constraint to use.	mitigation areas. The changes have been proposed following consultation with	
			Natural England. The Applicant is also proposing to install 1m-high post and rail	
			fencing with wire mesh between relevant sections of permissive path and mitigation	
			areas to prevent path users and dogs straying into the mitigation areas, along with	
			appropriate signage (to provide footpath users with information on the ecological	
			importance of the mitigation areas and the countryside code which includes ensuring	
			all dogs are kept on a lead). The Applicant is investigating ways to address issues of	
			disturbance due to permissive paths around mitigation areas, such as the re-routing	
			of the proposed permissive paths around mitigation areas 9 and 11, the use of	
			signage in appropriate locations instructing dog walkers to keep their dogs on a lead	
			and/ or additional fencing. The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of	
			Yorkshire Council on this topic.	
			Should the proposed changes to permissive paths be accepted by the Examining	
			Authority, relevant documents would be updated as necessary and submitted at	
			subsequent deadlines. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] and ES	
			Volume 3, Figure 3.4: Indicative Environmental Masterplan [APP-058] will be	
			updated to reflect any changes as necessary and submitted at subsequent deadlines.	
			Section 3.2 of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 6] provides further	
			information regarding the viability of the proposed SPA/Ramsar site mitigation areas.	
			The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this	
			topic.	
ERYC10	Protected sites	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	To minimise the potential for disturbance of wintering birds within mitigation areas	AgreedUnder
	<ul><li>mitigation</li></ul>	2 October 2025 that it is satisfied that the updated	9 (Field D18), 11 (Field E6) and 13 (Fields E13/14) Within Fields E4, E5 and E15, E17	discussion
	areas (noise	CEMP [REP2-138] secures the mitigation measures for	and D17 (adjacent to the mitigation areas associated with the Humber Estuary	
	and visual	avoiding noise impacts over the wintering bird period in	designated sites), the Applicant will avoid completing the activities most likely to	
	disturbance)	relation to the bird mitigation areas and Figham Pastures	disturb birds (e.g. loud activities such as piling, installing access tracks, laying cables,	
		LWS, having previously expressed concerns about	etc.) during winter (October to March) within Fields E4, E5 and E15, E17 and D17	
	Biodiversity	potential noise impacts during construction. defers to	(i.e. the fields adjacent to the mitigation areas associated with the Humber Estuary	
		Natural England guidance which states that noise	SPA/Ramsar site species). Only activities less likely to disturb birds (e.g.	
		impacts during construction should be assessed up to	commissioning works including panel installation) would take place in these fields	
		300m from source and should be considered relative to	during winter, if necessary. Should this not be possible, acoustic fencing would be	
		the background noise levels. It also notes that the	installed for the construction period to provide a noise and visual barrier, in addition to	
		Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to	any hedgerow screening already in place. The Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
		Inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145] states that	Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4]	
		visual and acoustic barriers (typically 3m high) would be		

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position installed between bird mitigation areas and the working area where necessary. East Riding of Yorkshire Council considers this appropriate where existing vegetation screens views of construction areas, however where open views will be lost due to the proposed development, the loss of sight lines may pose a constraint to the success of SPA/Ramsar bird mitigation areas during the construction phase due to the enclosure created by the acoustic fences.	includes the above information and the mitigation measures are secured in the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 5].  Natural England confirmed in its Written Representation [REP2-154] submitted at Deadline 2 that, based on the above, its concerns regarding consideration of noise and visual disturbance to the mitigation areas are now resolved. Noise modelling is therefore not proposed. This approach was agreed in principle during a meeting with Natural England on 19 June 2025. Should this not be possible, acoustic fencing would be installed for the construction period to provide a noise and visual barrier, in addition to any hedgerow screening already in place.	Status
			Section 3.2 of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 6] includes a justification for the suitability of each of the SPA/Ramsar site mitigation areas.  Appendix E of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 6] provides further clarify in regard to the bird days calculation and mitigation areas carrying capacities, which considered sight lines.  The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2] has been updated to include this information and the mitigation measures are secured in the updated Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2]. The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 1.	
ERYC11	Protected sites  – water quality impacts (cleaning of solar PV modules)  Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council acknowledges that water quality improvements during operation would be significant due to changes in land management but requests confirmation that only water would be used for cleaning of solar PV modules.	The Applicant agrees to this request. The <b>Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 2]</b> has been updated to clarify that the solar PV modules will be cleaned using deionised water only and therefore there would be no impacts on water quality as a result of this activity. The updated document is submitted at Deadline 1.	Agreed
ERYC12	Protected sites  – water supply impacts  Water Resources	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on 2 October 2025 that it welcomed the updated information provided in relation to water supply impacts and that water supply impacts which may arise due to abstraction are now not of concern. notes that the Habitats Regulations Assessment — Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment Table 4-12 [APP-145] considers potential effects from the release of breakout contaminants, particularly bentonite during horizontal directional drilling (HDD), and water supply impacts which may arise due to abstraction, while the Outline CEMP (APP-153) states that a Piling Risk Assessment will be prepared, if piling is required as part of the Proposed Development. However, East Riding of	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Applicant has produced a standalone water resources note (Appendix 1 - Water Resources Technical Note to the Response to Relevant Representations [EN010157/APP/8.3REP1-071], which is was submitted at Deadline 1, to clarifyies that water used during construction would be tankered in from mains and therefore no abstractions would be required. There will be a betterment in terms of water use during operation, compared to the existing water use within the Order Limits, given the negligible use when the Proposed Development is operational.  The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 42] has been updated to clarifyies that no water is to be abstracted for HDD works. HDD wastewater (including bentonite) will be removed from site in bowser trucks and, where necessary, remaining wastewater will be incarcerated within the launch pit and transported to a specialised	Agreed Under discussion

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		do not appear to be addressed elsewhere and requests	sought post consent and prior to commencement of Proposed Development. The	
		clarification.	updated document is submitted at Deadline 1.	
ERYC13	Protected sites	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2]	Agreed. Under
	<ul><li>lamprey</li></ul>	2 October 2025 that notes that, as given the measures	Revision 5] secures the measures relating to distance of HDD pits from Main Rivers	discussion
		secured in the Design Parameters Document [APP-150]	(50m), depth of HDD under the River Hull (7m) and that cabling under the River Hull	
	Biodiversity	and the Outline CEMP, (i.e. that HDD launch and	would include an insulating layer.	
		receptor pits would be located approximately 50m either		
		side of the River Hull, and HDD will take place at a	The preferred timings to undertake the HDD would be during spring/ summer (April to	
		minimum depth of 7m below the river bed, and cabling	September), when the ground conditions would be drier, which would avoid the peak	
		will have an insulating layer), which would mitigate	river lamprey migration period. While the Applicant cannot commit to this restriction at	
		effects from EMF on lamprey. East Riding of Yorkshire	this stage, it will adhere to these timings where possible.	
		Council notes that the Habitats Regulations Assessment		
		- Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-	As detailed in Section 7.5 of the updated Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
		145] also states that the cable will have 'an insulating	Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision	
		layer' and thatas well as details on the likely duration	24], in the unlikely event that it is not possible to avoid the river lamprey migration	
		and preferred timings to undertake the Horizontal	period, no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC/Ramsar site populations are	
		Directional Drilling would be 'spring/summer (April to	anticipated given that the HDD under the River Hull would be at a minimum depth of	
		September)' which would 'avoid the peak lamprey	7m, very short-term (estimated to take a maximum of 24 hours), and that fish without	
		migration period'of the HDD work that are set out in the	a swim bladder (which includes lamprey) have the lowest sensitivity to noise/	
		HRA, any barrier effects will be temporary and it is	vibration.	
		agreed that no adverse effects in relation to migrating		
		river lamprey will occur even outside of the indicative	The updated Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform	
		time periods, and requests that these commitments be	Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2] is submitted at Deadline	
		secured within the Design Parameters Document [APP-	<del>1.</del>	
		150] and the Outline CEMP [APP153] respectively.	The Formation American district Formation district and the state of th	
			The Environment Agency and Natural England have confirmed that they consider this	
			matter to be resolved, based on the above and the fact that the Outline CEMP	
			[EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] has been updated (and is submitted at Deadline 1)	
			to secure the measures relating to distance of HDD pits from Main Rivers (50m),	
			depth of HDD under the River Hull (7m) and that cabling under the River Hull would	
ED)/044	F:b	Foot Didion of Voylorking Occupits and a thought	include an insulating layer.	AI
ERYC14	Figham	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agrees that the	The Applicant welcomes this response.	Agreed
	Pastures Local	proposed 1.5m maximum trench width and		
	Wildlife Site	reinstatement of turfs within 48 hours in relation to works		
	(LWS) –	in Figham Pastures LWS would be acceptable.		
	trenching			
	Biodiversity			
ERYC15	Figham	East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests clarity	The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this	Under
EKICIS	Pastures Local	1		discussion
	Wildlife Site	regarding the use of 'where reasonably practicable' in relation to works not being undertaken between October	topic. The Applicant welcomes this response. Welfare facilities are required to be located in proximity to the working area by CDM Regulations and guidance,	uiscussion
			specifically Construction Welfare Standards (see BS 6465- 1:2006 + A1; 2009). The	
	(LWS) – vehicle	and March in Figham pastures LWS, as set out in the	Applicant would in the first instance seek to locate any such facilities outside of the	
	movements and site cabins	Outline CEMP [APP-153], particularly for vehicle movements.	WS, however, flexibility is required to ensure that there is capacity to locate cabins	
	SILE CADILIS	IIIOVEIIIEIIIS.	Lyvo, nowever, hexibility is required to ensure that there is capacity to locate cabins	
			<u> </u>	

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	Biodiversity	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	on the LWS to demonstrate that the distance and time to reach from the furthest point	
		2 October 2025 that the Applicant's response in relation	of the site to the welfare facilities is as short as possible.	
		to the potential locating of talso seeks justification as to		
		why site cabins may need to be located on Figham		
		Pastures LWS is justified and acceptable. However,		
		East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests that the size		
		of the welfare facilities should be minimised insofar as		
		possible.		
ERYC16	Figham	East Riding of Yorkshire Council requests that the	The Applicant agrees to this request. Table 20-1 within the <b>Outline LEMP</b>	Agreed
	Pastures LWS –	monitoring regime for reinstated habitats should be	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] has been updated to reflect this is submitted at	
	injurious weeds	extended should injurious weeds dominate within Table	Deadline 2.	
		20-1 of the oLEMP (PDA-018).		
	Biodiversity			
ERYC17	Protected	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Construction Environmental Management Plan (which is secured by	<u>Agreed</u> Under
	species	2 October 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's	Requirement 4 in Schedule 2, Part 1 of the <b>Draft Development Consent Order</b>	discussion
	(general) –	approach in relation to would expect to see embedded	[EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision <u>75</u> ]) will contain embedded <del>mitigation and</del> best	
	mitigation	best practice avoidance and mitigation measures for	practice <u>avoidance and measures</u> for legally protected species once pre-construction	
	measures	protected species, having previously requested for them	ecology surveys are undertaken. The Applicant feels the level of information provided	
		to be outlined within Table 5-1 of the Outline CEMP.	within the Table 5-1 of Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 53] regarding	
==>:co.co	Biodiversity	[APP-153] for protected species.	protected species is appropriate at this stage of the Proposed Development.	
ERYC18	Bats	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	Detailed measures to mitigate the effect on bats during construction will be included	Agreed Under
	D: 1: ''	2 October 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's	within the Construction Environmental Management Plan. This will include ensuring	discussion
	Biodiversity	response to its advice that welcomes the retention of	that, where reasonably practicable, the fencing options recommended by Natural	
		trees/structure suitable for roosting bats and the	England and East Riding of Yorkshire Council will be used. The Applicant will continue	
		inclusion of built features for bats. It notes bat foraging	to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this topic.	
		routes are to be maintained where breaks in hedgerows		
		are required through where the "temporary installation of		
		structures" is proposed in order to maintain bat foraging routes where breaks in hedgerow are required, it should		
		be either fencing with camouflage type netting on top or		
		filled with brash and recommend that netting should be		
		of a type not to cause wildlife entrapment, and uUse of		
		brash should be prioritised.		
ERYC19	Water vole and	East Riding of Yorkshire Council recommend that pre-	The Applicant agrees to this request. ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity	Agreed
LICIOIS	otter	construction surveys for water vole and otter should be	[EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2] and the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2	7 Greed
	Otto	undertaken for impacted watercourses found to be	Revision 2] have been updated to ensure pre-construction water vole and otter	
	Biodiversity	'suitable' and above for both otters and water vole.	surveys use appropriate guidance. This includes, where land access permits,	
	Biodivoroity	Surveys for otter should extend up to 200m up and	undertaking water vole surveys 100m downstream and upstream from proposed	
		downstream of each crossing point (where open cut	culverts and watercourse crossing points which affect watercourses assessed as	
		techniques required) and up to 5-10m from each bank	'suitable but poor to optimal suitability' within ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.7: Water	
		as appropriate. Water vole surveys should be extended	Vole and Otter Habitat Suitability Report [APP-111]. Where land access permits,	
		in accordance with the guidance in Box 1 of the Water	pre-construction otter surveys will be undertaken of suitable habitat within 200m of	
		Vole Mitigation Handbook.	the proposed works.	
			The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 1.	

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
ERYC20	Fish	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Applicant will continue to engage with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this	<u>AgreedUnder</u>
		2 October 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's	topic. The Applicant welcomes this response. The method of open cut crossings will	discussion
	Biodiversity	response to its requests for clarification on whether open	only be used during cable installation works. Cables will only be installed using the	
		cut crossings or installation of box culverts would impact	open cut method within dry minor watercourses where fish would not be affected.	
		movement of fish during construction and that for any		
		necessary associated mitigation measures should to be	Cables will be installed underneath other watercourses using horizontal directional	
		secured.	drilling or will be incorporated into a crossing above the watercourse, therefore no	
			significant effects on fish are anticipated, including lamprey as explained within the	
			Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to inform Appropriate	
			Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4].	
			Other discrete sections of watercourses could be affected by box culverting works for	
			access, which will likely require isolated dewatering and in these cases, licencing will	
			be obtained from the Environment Agency and standard fish rescue techniques	
			employed to minimise harm to fish. As works would be short term and temporary, no	
			significant effects on fish movement are envisaged. Such construction works would	
			be overseen by an Ecological Clerk of Works. The Outline CEMP	
			[EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 5] secures measures to reduce impacts on fish during	
			the construction phase. In addition, standard pollution control measures are detailed	
			in and secured by the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 5] and the	
			Outline DEMP [EN010157/APP/7.4 Revision 4].	
ERYC21	Breeding birds	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that monitoring	The Applicant agrees to this request. Section 19.3 of the <b>Outline LEMP</b>	Agreed
		measures are included in the Outline LEMP [PDA-018]	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] has been updated to include indicative roles and	
	Biodiversity	but suggests that triggers should be included to indicate	responsibilities, an indicative management and monitoring programme, and targets	
		when remedial action should be implemented. It	for success criteria and potential remedial actions. Section 14.2.5 of the <b>Outline</b>	
		recommends that monitoring should include breeding	<b>LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3]</b> has been added to provide detail regarding	
		bird activity as well as habitat condition, and that further	grassland sward height within the breeding bird mitigation areas and remedial action	
		details should be provided on target sward height for	if required.	
		breeding birds, for example nesting skylarks avoid		
		vegetation over 60cm and lapwings prefer more open	The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 1.	
		swards.		
ERYC22	Lighting	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	As detailed within Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 52] core	<u>Agreed</u> Under
		2 October 2025 that it is satisfied that the Applicant's	construction working hours will be between 07:00 and 19:00, therefore reducing	discussion
	Biodiversity	approach to lighting would notes that infrared sensor	potential lighting effects on bats. The Applicant is required to comply with certain	
		triggered security lighting would be required around key	health and safety regulations but, as detailed within Outline CEMP	
		electrical infrastructure and that the lighting design	[EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 52], construction lighting will be kept to a minimum	
		would seek to limit any impacts on sensitive ecological	and not directed towards hedgerows, tree lines, watercourses, badger setts,	
		receptors. It recommends that Lux level impacts on	ecological mitigation and enhancement areas. Table 4-1 of the <b>Outline DEMP</b>	
		adjacent habitats during construction, operation and	[EN010157/APP/7.4 Revision 42] also provides detail regarding measures to reduce	
		decommissioning be less than 1 lux at sensitive	effects on sensitive ecological receptor from lighting during decommissioning. As	
		ecological receptors.	detailed within Outline OEMP [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 32] no areas of the Site	
			during the operational stage will be continuously lit. However, motion sensor infrared	
			security lighting will be used for security and operational purposes within the two	
			substations. The two substations are positioned away from sensitive ecological	

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			receptors, or appropriate buffers will be in place to minimise any potential	
			disturbance.	
ERYC23	Trees (veteran	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this	<u>Agreed</u> Under
	trees)	2 October 2025 that it welcomes the Applicant's	matter. The Applicant welcomes this response. As a result of Change 9 (see the	discussion
		response to its notes that a new access track is	Second notification of proposed changes to the DCO Application [AS-015]), the	
	Biodiversity	proposed within the root protection area (RPA) of a	access track off Meaux Lane to Field D5 has been removed from the Proposed	
		veteran tree (T381; see ES Appendix 7.11: Arboricultural	Development. Therefore, veteran tree T381 is no longer be within or adjacent to the	
		Impact Assessment [APP-115]) and, while it	Order Limits and will not be impacted by the Proposed Development. Further details	
		acknowledges that mitigation is proposed, East Riding of	are provided in ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.11: Arboricultural Impact Assessment	
		Yorkshire Council requests that access tracks be located	[REP2-127].	
		outside of veteran tree RPAs.		
ERYC24	Trees (Category	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes that there would	The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this	Under
	A and B)	be no impacts to trees covered by a Tree Preservation	matter.	discussion
		Order but has concerns about the potential extent of loss		
	Biodiversity	of category B trees and potential impacts to T076, a		
		category A oak.		
ERYC25	Woodland	East Riding of Yorkshire Council recommends that an	The Applicant agrees to this request. The <b>Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5</b>	Agreed
		increase in longer lived species is included in the tree	<b>Revision 3]</b> will be updated to include these species in the indicative planting mix.	
	Biodiversity	mix to provide resilience in the stock. Species such as	The updated document is submitted at Deadline 2.	
		walnut, small-leaved lime and sweet chestnut are		
	<u> </u>	present within order limits and the wider area.		
ERYC26	Hedgerows	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5	<u>Agreed</u> Under
		2 October 2025s that it is satisfied with the Applicant's	Revision 63 states that where vegetation removal/pruning is required for access	discussion
	Design of	response to its request that losses of hedgerow should	and/or visibility splays, the works should be limited to that amount required to achieve	
	Development	be minimised. East Riding of Yorkshire Council It notesd	the appropriate access / visibility required. Pruning of vegetation will be preferred over	
		that an 8m indicative width for the cable route corridor is	removal wherever possible.	
		included but requests that, where important hedgerows	The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this	
		are impacted, a commitment should be made to	''	
		minimising the extent of removal further, and that where	matter.	
		removal is required for visibility splays for construction		
		only, that complete removal is avoided.		
		East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed that the		
		justification provided is acceptable and is satisfied that a		
		worst-case scenario has been assessed in relation to		
		hedgerow removals. It welcomes the commitment to		
		minimising impacts where safe to do so at the detailed		
		design stage.		
ERYC27	Biodiversity Net	East Riding of Yorkshire Council notes some minor	The Applicant will continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire Council on this	Under
	Gain (BNG)	discrepancies between the metric and the assessment	matter.	discussion
		within ES Appendix 7.10: Biodiversity Net Gain	S	
	Biodiversity	Assessment [APP-114] and requests clarification on		
		these. It also requests further justification behind some		
		of the assumptions the assessment is based on.		

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
ERYC28	Outline OEMP	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5	<u>Agreed</u> Under
		2 October 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's	Revision 64] has been updated to states that due to the passive nature of the	discussion
	Biodiversity	response to its requests that Table 5-1 of the oOEMP	Proposed Development during the operational phase there are no significant effects	
		[APP-154] should include procedures for implementing,	anticipated on protected and notable species. However, in the event that any work	
		adapting and monitoring any protected species licences.	outside the scope of the routine maintenance set out within the <b>Outline OEMP</b>	
			[EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 3REP1-052] is required, the Applicant will appoint an	
			ecologist prior to works. The appointed ecologist will assess potential effects on	
			protected and notable species and if required complete appropriate mitigation and	
			licence applications if required. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 4]	
ED) (000	D: !: '( N (		will be submitted at Deadline 2.	
ERYC28a	Biodiversity Net	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Applicant welcomes this comment. The Landscape and Ecological Management	Agreed
	Gain (BNG) –	26 September 2025 that it is satisfied that BNG is	Plan, which is secured by Requirement 9 in the Draft DCO [EN010157/APP/3.1	
	securing	appropriately secured through Requirement 9 in the	Revision 7] and will be substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP	
	<u>mechanism</u>	Draft DCO through the Landscape and Ecological	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 6], will set out the management and monitoring that are required in order to deliver the BNG outlined in ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.10:	
	Biodiversity	Management Plan.	Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment [REP2-023]. The Landscape and Ecological	
	<u> Diodiversity</u>		Management Plan will be reviewed after 30 years to ensure it is fit for purpose for the	
			remaining 10 years of the Proposed Development operation.	
Water			Torridining to years of the Freposed Bevelopment operation.	
	T			
ERYC29	Land drainage –	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed via email on	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Applicant has obtained copies of all	Agreed
	surveys	3 September 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's	existing land drainage plans, where available, from the landowners. In addition, the	
	I budga la au canal	approach to land drains, having previously commented	Applicant has undertaken geophysical surveys which have validated the majority of	
	Hydrology and Flood Risk	that sites should be surveyed for existing land drainage	these land drains.	
	Flood Risk	systems and works should not impact on existing drainage systems. Access should also be considered for	It will not be possible for the solar DV module mounting frames to be installed without	
		future maintenance and inspections of existing	It will not be possible for the solar PV module mounting frames to be installed without damaging some drains. However, the effects are anticipated to be negligible.	
		watercourses.	Furthermore, the Applicant has agreed through land option agreements with each	
		watercourses.	landowner to commission two years of annual land drainage reviews with each	
			landowner, following completion of construction, undertaken by an independent	
			consultant.	
			The Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] has been updated to include	
			the commitment to inspect land drains to ensure no damage has occurred or pollution	
			pathways created. If land drains have been damaged, any remedial works will be	
			identified and a plan for their delivery will be implemented. The updated <b>Outline</b>	
			CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2] is submitted at Deadline 1.	
			The Proposed Development design incorporates setbacks from existing watercourses	
			in accordance with the requirements of the Beverley and North Holderness Internal	
			Drainage Board and the Environment Agency.	
ERYC30	Surface water	It was agreed with East Riding of Yorkshire Council	The Applicant welcomes this response. The drainage strategy is incorporated within	Agreed
	drainage	(Lead Local Flood Authority) and the Beverley and North		
	strategy	Holderness Internal Drainage Board in an online	Revision 3].	
		meeting on 6 June 2024 that there would be no positive		

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	Hydrology and Flood Risk	drainage. Instead, rainwater would be directed to ground as per the existing site.		
		This drainage strategy was later amended to include positive drainage at the substations with a restricted outfall to the nearby watercourses, following discussions with Beverley and North Holderness Internal Drainage Board. East Riding of Yorkshire Council (Local Lead Flood Authority) has agreed in principle to the revised approach.		
ED) (00.1				
ERYC31	Scoping out Water as a chapter in the ES	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the approach of scoping out Water as a standalone ES chapter.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Applicant reached agreement with the Environment Agency prior to submission of the DCO Application that surface water and flood risk would be scoped out of the ES as a standalone Water chapter on the basis that ES Volume 4, Appendix 5.6: Flood Risk Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 3] (which demonstrates no significant impact) and ES	Agreed
	Approach to EIA		Volume 4, Appendix 5.5: Water Framework Directive Screening and Scoping Report [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2] are submitted in support of the DCO Application and groundwater quality remains scoped into ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Land, Soils and Groundwater [APP-046]. Further explanation of the approach is provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 5: Approach to the EIA [APP-041].	
Air Quality			provided within 20 volume 1, onapter of Approach to the EIA [A 1 -0+1].	
ERYC32	Scope and methodology	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the approach to the assessment of Air Quality.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 6: Air Quality [APP-042] for details.	Agreed
	Air Quality			
Landscape a	nd Visual			
ERYC33	Residential Visual Amenity Assessment	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's appointed landscape consultants, 2B Consultants, agreed with the proposed approach for the Residential Visual Amenity Assessment.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 4, Appendix 11.5: Residential Visual Amenity Assessment [APP-132] for details.	Agreed
	Landscape and Visual			
ERYC34	Hedgerow/ tree planting	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's landscape consultants were satisfied with the extent and design of proposed screening planting following amendments after	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [APP-047], ES Volume 3, Figure 3.4: Indicative Environmental Masterplan [APP-058], and the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3] for	Agreed
	Landscape and Visual	the site walkover on 28 August 2024.	details.	
ERYC35	Viewpoints and photomontages	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's landscape consultants broadly agreed with the viewpoint locations presented in the PEIR and with the viewpoints proposed	The Applicant agreed to this request. Viewpoints from these locations were included but it was determined that potential visual amenity effects on users of the trails could be scoped out of the LVIA. See Table 11-3 of <b>ES Volume 2</b> , <b>Chapter 11: Landscape</b>	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	Landscape and Visual	to be included as photomontages. However, they requested the inclusion of additional viewpoints from within ZTV areas, potentially from higher ground, outside the agreed 3km study area, specifically views from the Minster Way Trail and Trans Pennine Trail.	and Visual [APP-047] and Viewpoint 28 (Trans Pennine Trail) and Viewpoint 29 (Minster Way) in ES Volume 4, Appendix 11.6: Viewpoints and Visualisations Part 2 [AS-002].	
ERYC36	Listed buildings  Landscape and  Visual	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's landscape consultants agreed that listed buildings only need to be included in the LVIA if there are appropriate reasons to do so, e.g. National Trust properties which are also visitor attractions.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Listed buildings which are also residential properties are included in the LVIA within the assessments on settlements, local residents and/ or Residential Visual Amenity Assessment as appropriate. Other listed buildings are not included in the LVIA, and the Applicant considers that effects are more appropriately assessed in ES Volume 2, Chapter 9: Cultural Heritage [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2].	Agreed
Noise and Vil	oration			
ERYC37	Monitoring and assessment approach  Noise and	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the proposed Noise and Vibration monitoring and assessment approach in principle, with an expectation of noise-producing elements being situated away from noise-sensitive residential properties as part of the site	The Applicant welcomes this response. Appropriate buffers from noise-sensitive receptors have been incorporated into the design of the Proposed Development, as set out in ES Volume 2, Chapter 12: Noise and Vibration [APP-048] and the Design Parameters Document [EN010157/APP/5.8 Revision 2].	Agreed
Transport and	Vibration d Access	layout design.		
ERYC38	Construction	East Piding of Verkehire Council indicated that	The Applicant welcomes this response. See the Troffic Messures Plan IDDA 0001	Agrood
ERICSO	access – temporary speed reductions  Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council indicated that temporary speed reductions on routes during the construction phase of the Proposed Development would be acceptable in order to ensure that visibility could be achieved without requiring the removal of hedges and trees.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See the <b>Traffic Measures Plan [PDA-008]</b> , and Schedule 7 of the <b>Draft Development Consent Order [EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision 4]</b> for details.	Agreed
ERYC39	Highways works (passing places/ widening) and traffic management measures  Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with proposed highways works, including updates following design changes, and confirmed that, if constructed to adoptable standards, East Riding of Yorkshire Council would be willing to adopt passing places/ areas of widening following completion of the construction phase of the Proposed Development.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See the Streets, Rights of Way and Access Plans [PDA-005], the Traffic Measures Plan [PA-008], and Schedules 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Draft Development Consent Order [EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision 4] for details.	Agreed
ERYC40	Transport	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 4, Appendix 14.1:	Agreed
	Assessment	proposed scope of the Transport Assessment.	Transport Assessment [APP-138] for details.	
	Transport and Access			
ERYC41	Large Loads	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed that it had no issues with the proposed Large Load routes and agreed	The Applicant welcomes this response.	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	Transport and Access	in principle to the closure of Meaux Lane should it be required.	See ES Volume 2, Chapter 14: Transport and Access [APP-050] and Section 5 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Outline CTMP) [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] for details.	
ERYC42	Construction traffic (HGVs) along Park Lane, Cottingham  Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council disagrees with the proposed routing of construction traffic (HGVs) along Park Lane, Cottingham due to potential impacts on local residents. Instead, East Riding of Yorkshire Council suggests utilising the proposed new access route off the A1079 associated with Creyke Beck substation extension work (Wanlass Beck), which is subject to a planning application by other developers. East Riding of Yorkshire Council recommended engaging with National Grid and Orsted to better understand their timeframes.	The Applicant has investigated using the proposed new access route off the A1079 and has sought to reach out to other relevant parties, i.e. NGET and Orsted, however engagement with Orsted has halted due to the discontinuation of the Hornsea 4 offshore wind farm project. The Applicant maintains its position that Park Lane is the most appropriate option given the short duration of the works in this location (several months to complete the laying of the final 700m of underground cable and connection works into the National Grid Creyke Beck substation) and the low volume of vehicle movements required (10 HGVs per day, i.e. 20 HGV movements, plus 10 LGVs, i.e. 20 LGV movements) especially in comparison to other consented schemes utilising Park Lane (e.g. 23/03926/STPLF), as well as the lack of certainty over when the access route off the A1079 will be delivered, meaning the Applicant cannot rely on it to provide access to the Proposed Development.  Management of construction related HGVs and other vehicles would be controlled through the Construction Traffic Management Plan, which will be substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] and which will provide protection to other road users on Park Lane. The Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] has been updated to include a commitment to no construction traffic along Park Lane during school pick-up/drop-off times. This will avoid construction traffic along the nearby road network (e.g. Northgate Road/Harland Way depending on the final traffic routing) during the pick-up and drop-off times of primary and secondary schools in Cottingham.  The updated Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 2] was submitted at Deadline 1.	Under discussion
ERYC42a	New access points/ routes  Transport and Access	East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed its approval of Changes 3 and 9 (see the Second Notification of Proposed Changes to the DCO Application [AS-015] for details) via email on 3 October 2025.  Regarding Change 3, Iin a meeting on 20 August 2025, East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that the proposed new A165 access (Change 3 in the Second Notification of Proposed Changes to the DCO Application [AS-015]) could be managed through provision of a banksperson.  Regarding Change 9, For the proposed new access route utilising the existing farm access off the A1035 (Change 9), East Riding of Yorkshire Council suggested that a left turn only entry and exit restriction enforced for HGVs would be a favourable management solution.	The Applicant welcomes this response and agrees to this request. The Outline CTMP [EN010157/APP/7.7 Revision 34] has been updated to includes a commitment to restricting HGVs to left turn movements only at the farm access off the A1035the relevant mitigation and nNew Access and Highway Mitigation Plans showing the access general arrangements have been prepared to ensure that HGVs can enter and exit with appropriate passing provision at the A1035 access. The plans are presented in Appendix G of the updated ES Volume 4, Appendix 14.1: Transport Assessment [EN010157/APP/6.4 Revision 2REP2-133].  The updated documents are submitted at Deadline 2.	Agreed Under discussion

Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	Additionally, East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed that a passing place would be required within the intervisibility splay of vehicles entering the Site, or that sufficient width should be provided at the access for two vehicles to pass. East Riding of Yorkshire Council is satisfied that the information provided by the Applicant shows sufficient mitigation to allow construction vehicle movements to enter/exit the farm access from A1035, which could be managed with temporary traffic measures if required.		
ets and Waste			
Scoping out material assets and waste as separate ES chapter  Waste	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Principal Environmental Control Officer agreed that materials and waste could be scoped out from full assessment within the Environmental Statement, as the topic issues will be sufficiently covered through other ES chapters and relevant management plans.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Potential streams and volumes of construction materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-161], while indirect impacts associated with materials consumption and waste disposal (e.g. release of greenhouse gas emissions, water consumption, amenity impacts, ecological impacts, etc) are assessed in ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity, ES Volume 2 [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2], Chapter 8: Climate [APP-043], and ES Volume 2, Chapter 10: Landscape and Visual [APP-047].  Waste management measures are set out in the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2], the Outline OEMP [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 2], the Outline DEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 2], and the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-161].	Agreed
d Groundwater			
Minerals safeguarding – scoping out of the ES  Approach to EIA	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that issues relating to mineral extraction sites and mineral safeguarding areas can be scoped out of the ES, comprising an appendix to the Planning Statement instead.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See Appendix 4 - Minerals Safeguarding Assessment to the Planning Statement [APP-147].	Agreed
ffects			
Methodology and shortlist Approach to EIA	East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the proposed methodology and shortlist of other existing and/or approved developments. East Riding of Yorkshire Council suggested a number of additional other existing and/or approved developments that should be considered in the cumulative effects assessment.	The Applicant agreed to consider the other developments suggested by East Riding of Yorkshire Council in the long list (ES Volume 4, Appendix 15.1: Long List of Other Existing and/or Approved Development [APP-143]) and, where the cumulative criteria was met, they were taken forward to the short list (Table 15-3 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 15: Cumulative Effects [APP-051]). Where relevant, they have also been considered in Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 2].	Agreed
	scoping out material assets and waste as separate ES chapter  Waste  Groundwater  Minerals safeguarding – scoping out of the ES  Approach to EIA  ffects  Methodology and shortlist	Additionally, East Riding of Yorkshire Council confirmed that a passing place would be required within the intervisibility splay of vehicles entering the Site, or that sufficient width should be provided at the access for two vehicles to passEast Riding of Yorkshire Council is satisfied that the information provided by the Applicant shows sufficient mitigation to allow construction vehicle movements to enter/exit the farm access from A1035, which could be managed with temporary traffic measures if required.  Scoping out material assets and waste as separate ES chapter  Waste  East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Principal Environmental Control Officer agreed that materials and waste could be scoped out from full assessment within the Environmental Statement, as the topic issues will be sufficiently covered through other ES chapters and relevant management plans.  Waste  East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed that issues relating to mineral extraction sites and mineral safeguarding areas can be scoped out of the ES, comprising an appendix to the Planning Statement instead.  Approach to EIA  Methodology and shortlist  Approach to EIA  East Riding of Yorkshire Council agreed with the proposed methodology and shortlist of other existing and/or approved developments. East Riding of Yorkshire Council suggested a number of additional other existing and/or approved developments that should be	Additionally, East Riding of Yorkshire Council onfirmed that a passing place would be required within the intervisibility splay of vehicles entering the Site, or that sufficient with should be provided at the access for two vehicles to pass. East Riding of Yorkshire Council is satisfied that the information provided by the Applicant shows sufficient mitigation to allow construction vehicle movements to enterfexit the farm access from A1035, which could be managed with temporary traffic measures if required.  Scoping out material assets and waste as separate E3 chapter shad and vaste as separate E3 chapter with the Environmental Control Officer agreed that materials and vaste outd be scoped out from full assessment within the Environmental Statement, as the topic issues will be sufficiently covered through other E5 chapters and relevant management plans.  The Applicant welcomes this response. Potential streams and volumes of construction materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-461), while indirect impacts associated with materials and waste could be scoped out from full assessment within the Environmental Control Officer agreed that materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-461), while indirect impacts associated with materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-461), while indirect impacts associated with materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-461), while indirect impacts associated with materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-461), while indirect impacts associated with materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-461), while indirect impacts associated with materials and waste disposal are covered within the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (APP-461).  Waste Take Take Take Take Take Take Take Tak

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
ERYC46	Outstanding claims for PRoW  Design of Development	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Definitive Map team confirmed that the proposed permissive path route (through Land Areas D and E) was acceptable and that, as long as the Applicant commits to providing the proposed permissive path route for the lifetime of the Proposed Development, East Riding of Yorkshire Council would not seek to designate the provisional route as PRoW during that period (notwithstanding its statutory duty to process and determine an external application if received).	The Applicant welcomes this response. The <b>Outline OEMP [EN010157/APP/7.3 Revision 2]</b> includes a commitment to maintaining access to footpaths, including the proposed permissive paths. throughout the operational phase of the Proposed Development.	Agreed
ERYC47	Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan – terminology  Design of Development	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Countryside Access Officer commented that clarity was needed regarding the terminology used in the <b>Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9]</b> , i.e. the nuances between a footpath, a bridleway, a restricted byway, and a Byway Open to All Traffic (BOAT).	The Applicant agrees to the request. The Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9 Revision 2] accordingly and is submitted at Deadline 1.	Agreed
ERYC48	Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan – alternative routes  Design of Development	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Countryside Access Officer commented that diverted PRoW should ideally avoid main roads, particularly those at national speed limit. The preference would be for them to 'chicane' around works areas, reverting once works are complete. This would require appropriate signage for users and briefing of all site workers/ visitors of the possibility of PRoW users crossing, or possibly being on, the haul/access road.	As set out in the Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9 Revision 2], there are no temporary PRoW diversions proposed as part of the Proposed Development. The Outline Rights of Way and Access Management Plan [EN010157/APP/7.9 Revision 2] sets out that any temporary closures/ restrictions required would be consulted on with East Riding of Yorkshire Council in advance of these taking place.	Under discussion
Population a	nd Human Health			
ERYC49	Health impacts  Human Health	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Senior Public Health Officer agreed with the Applicant's proposed approach to considering health impacts.	The Applicant has not undertaken a standalone Health Impact Assessment as potential health impacts arising from the construction and operation of the Proposed Development are considered within ES Volume 2, Chapter 6: Air Quality [APP-042], ES Volume 2, Chapter 11: Landscape and Visual [APP-047], ES Volume 2, Chapter 12: Noise and Vibration [APP-048] and ES Volume 2, Chapter 14: Transport and Access [APP-050]. This approach is in accordance with the Scoping Opinion response received from the Planning Inspectorate (see ES Volume 4, Appendix 5.2: Scoping Opinion [APP-098]).	Agreed
ERYC50	Construction workers and demand on local health services  Human Health	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Senior Public Health Officer agreed that the anticipated increase in staff/workers in the area associated with the construction of the Proposed Development would not have a significant impact on local health services.	The Applicant welcomes this response. It is anticipated that a small number of workers would stay in the vicinity of the Site during the 24-month construction phase (see <b>ES Volume 2, Chapter 13: Population [APP-049]</b> for details), a percentage of whom may require local healthcare facilities. This is not anticipated to result in a significant short-term increase in the demand for local health care facilities.	Agreed

Ref	Topic	East Riding of Yorkshire Council's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
ERYC51	Tourism  Population	East Riding of Yorkshire Council is satisfied that given there are no tourism sites directly adjacent to the Proposed Development and subject to appropriate landscaping and the design parameters proposed, the Proposed Development would not adversely impact upon the tourism attraction of the area.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See the <b>Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3]</b> for details on proposed landscaping and planting, and the <b>Design Parameters Document [EN010157/APP/5.8 Revision 2]</b> .	Agreed
Planning Poli	су			
ERYC52	Principle of development  Principle of Development	ERYC support the principle of the proposed development with regards to national and local planning policy on this application site provided there are no unacceptable impacts.	The Applicant welcomes this response.	Agreed
ERYC53	Local Policy Accordance  Policy and Legislation	ERYC are satisfied that all relevant policies in the ERLP SD have been identified with regard had to what were the current and emerging Local Plans at that time. It should be noted that the East Riding Local Plan Strategy Document 2016 has been superseded by the East Riding Local Plan Strategy Document Update (ERLP SD) 2025.  Whilst there is some conflict with aspects of certain policies, as highlighted in ERYC Local Impact Report, overall, the proposed scheme complies with the development plan when read as a whole'. ERYC are unable to confirm compliance with the policies at this time however the LIR will expand on this.	The Applicant has identified the relevant local planning policies and set out how the Proposed Development is in accordance with these within the Planning Statement [APP-147], specifically Appendix 1- Policy Accordance Tables.  The Applicant notes ERYCs point on further information in the Local Impact Report and will seek to update this matter following review of this documentwelcomes the confirmation from ERYC that the proposed scheme complies with the development plan when read as a whole.	Agreed Under discussion
ERYC54	National Policy Accordance Policy and Legislation	ERYC are satisfied that the relevant sections and paragraphs of the NPPF have been identified and the relevant National Planning Statements have also been identified.  ERYC are unable to confirm compliance with the National Policy at this time however the LIR will expand on this.	The Applicant has identified the relevant national planning policies and set out how the Proposed Development is in accordance with these within the <b>Planning Statement [APP-147]</b> , specifically Appendix 1- Policy Accordance Tables.  The Applicant notes ERYCs point on further information in the Local Impact Report and will seek to update this matter following review of this document.	Agreed Under discussion

# 4 Signatures

# 4.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground is agreed upon: On behalf of East Riding of Yorkshire Council: Name: Signature: Date: On behalf of the Applicant: Name: Signature:

### **RWE Renewables UK Limited**

Windmill Hill Business Park, Whitehill Way, Swindon, Wiltshire, England, SN5 6PB www.rwe.com